



Collaborative customer testing of MetaLib 4.0 Ex Libris offices, Jerusalem, December 2006 Richard Cross, Nottingham Trent University

Agenda

- Background and context customer involvement in testing
- Testing mechanisms and processes
- Testing the customer-tester experience
- Post-testing follow-up and feedback
- Recommendations and observations
- Future developments
- Any questions?



Background and context

- At Stockholm IGELU conference, September 2006, idea raised
 - IGELU and ELUNA participation in last-phase testing of MetaLib 4.0
- Following agreement: a very short period for preparation on all sides; degree of novelty in the process
- ELUNA and IGELU advertised for testers
 - Two sets of testers; each working on product testing for one week
 - Based at Ex Libris offices in Jerusalem; expenses met by Ex Libris
 - Required to participate in pre-testing conference calls and post-testing report writing and user group feedback
- Four testers selected
 - IGELU: Richard Cross, <u>NTU</u>; Rui Francisco, <u>b-On Consortia</u>
 - ELUNA: Lori Jargo, <u>Brown University</u>; Licia Duncan, <u>PSCE</u>



Testing – mechanisms and processes

- Testing took place in Jerusalem in December 2006
 - Karen Groves (MetaLib Product Manager) and Hedva Scop (MetaLib Development Team) served as hosts
- Kick-off meeting with the development team to scope out process
 - Responsive to areas of user interest, expertise, responsibility
 - Set out timetable for week; mechanics of the process
- Testing was undertaken using a combination of methodologies
 - Script driven detailed walkthroughs; testing new and existing functionality
 - Open ended scenario experimenting with customisation, module settings
 - Exploratory work through /M and /V sides of different process
- Audited reporting of 'bugs' through proprietary Test Director
 - 'Verified fixed' (QA confirms resolved)
 - 'Closed' (either 'Rejected' or reported as a 'Duplicate')
 - 'Deferred' (resolution suspended)

NTU

Testing – the customer-tester experience

- Wide range of MetaLib v.4.0 functionality tested
 - /V clustered / faceted searching; customisation; usability
 - /M category management and IRD enhancements
 - System level Upgrade Express
- Testers were able to report 'bugs' and see many of them fixed
- Range of presentations were offered on v.4.0 developments
- Opportunity to present to the MetaLib Development Team not normally in contact with customers
- Professional collaborative atmosphere throughout; nothing `offlimits'; encouragement to be open; to report and assess
- Shared recognition of the utility of process for all involved

Post-testing follow-up

- Participants prepared detailed reports for ELUNA and IGELU
- Findings shared and discussed during conference calls
- Agreed <u>summary</u> was submitted to Ex Libris reaction to which was positive
- Full reports uploaded members' area of IGELU and ELUNA websites; summary freely accessible
- Reports written for the user group press inc SMUG-4-EU
- Participant report-backs at ELUNA and IGELU conferences



Recommendations and observations

- Clarify the status and remit of the testers and the testing process
- Build-in lengthier preparation times match workload to timeframe
- Ensure full-breadth of functionality is tested variety of methods
- Explore combination of on-site and remote testing mechanisms
- Integrate customer review of the implementation documentation
- Maintain distinction between 'bug' reporting and 'enhancement' requests
- Fully acknowledge differences between last-phase **pre-release testing** and involvement in the **development process**



Future developments?

- Shared recognition that this was an extremely productive first experience – will help to maintain momentum
- Enthusiasm on user group side to repeat and to generalise across the Ex Libris product suite
- Important to manage expectations on both sides; build this process in to the product development lifecycle
- To demonstrate the continuing enthusiasm of the user community: be ready to volunteer!

• Any questions?

