MONASH University Session 20b Common Knowledge

Collaborative testing

Simon Huggard, IGeLU Steering Committee 10 September 2008 9.00-10.30











Voyager collaborative testing - outcomes

- Very good process and product
- Ex Libris had done their home work
- Daily meetings and information about the testing were very good
- All testers used same scripts (wasteful, repetitive, inappropriate?)

www.lib.monash.edu.au

 Back end batch jobs and back-end functionality not available for testing

MONASH University

Voyager collaborative testing - SUGGESTIONS

- Begin the process earlier (before arrival) even if this is to just distribute scripts to see what they do
- Need separate login so the work can be tracked.
- The testers need background on how the test bed database is configured. They need to know settings, so they know if they've run into a bug or a choice of settings.
- Testers need to have very broad experience with entire Voyager system. Although the testers were requested to have expertise with Acquisitions and WebVoyage, the testing really covered all aspects of the release.
- The database was too small and undeveloped, in the testers' opinion.
 e.g. testing of merged records was occurring with records with just four fields.

www.lib.monash.edu.au

MONASH University

Suggestions Give testers time to implement sysadmin-side settings so they understand what they are testing Solicit problem records in advance and load them, so known issues can be addressed. Have the previous release available for comparison. Provide at least a draft of the documentation.