Scholarly Usage Based Recommendations: Evaluating bX for a Consortium

Dana Thomas, Scholars Portal Amy Greenberg, Scholars Portal Pascal Calarco, University of Waterloo

Igelu, Haifa, September 2011

Agenda

- Recommender systems and evaluation methods overview
- Introduction to SFX, Primo, and bx in OCUL
- Findings to date in OCUL usage data
- Focus groups at Waterloo
- Future plans for bx evaluation at OCUL

Scholarly Recommender Systems

Synthese (Vellino, 2010)	Content -based	 STM digital collections Considers bibliographic citations in articles as 'preferences' 	 Tested 1886 articles which generated 1.9 million recommendations Compared to bX: Produced similar # recommendations - High 'semantic diversity' of recommendations
Google Wave- based system (Serrano-Guerrero <i>et al.,</i> 2011)	Content -based	 Groups of researchers and resources (categorised via ScienceDirect) If algorithm determines that user-suggested new resources relevant for wave/s, suggestion sent to wave administrator for approval 	 Prototype tested 7 waves of 34 researchers and 83 resources Resources considered "well- inserted" by wave administrators, with low percentage of missed resources
Context-aware Citation Recommendation using CiteSeerX (He <i>et al.,</i> 2010)	Content -based	- Uses overall context of document and local surrounding words to produce ranked set of citations	 Tested 450,000 pre-2008 articles Title and abstract used for global context 50 words before and after placeholder used as local citation context Evaluated 3 measures of relevance and found to be effective compared to other systems
SmartSearch (Steinberg <i>et al.,</i> 2010)	Content -based	 MeSH headings mapped to 130 resources and user queries Recommendations generated based on frequency of common headings returned 	 Goal was promotion of library resources Measured user click-through rates to suggested resources Statistically inconclusive positive

Scholarly Recommender Systems (cont'd)

Bx (Bollen & Van de Sompel, 2006)	Usage-based	 Usage data for user community aggregated by openURL linking Data harvested by OAI-PMH 	 -Tested using SFX linkage data from 9 CalState institutions and 2 million unique referents - Journal relationships established via PageRank algorithm - Potential privacy issue can be resolved using anonymized session IDs
Melvyl Recommender Project (Whitney & Schiff, 2006)	Usage-based (circulation data from UCLA)	 Anonymous but persistent patron IDs Large volume of data (~9 million transaction records) Weighted recommendations based on common checkouts, filtered by call number similarity 	 Checkout = +ve rating?? Small user study (10 undergrads and grad students) Survey and think-aloud tasks
Personal Ontology Recommender (PORE) system (Liao <i>et al.,</i> 2010)	Hybrid	 Uses loan history of patron to establish "personal ontology" of CCL or DDC categories and keywords used Finds similar users based on keyword overlap in loan records Recommends items based on frequency of keyword overlap 	n/a

Evaluation Approaches for Recommender Systems

- One formula to rule them all? (del Olmo & Gaudioso, 2008)
- Offline and online tests
- User studies
- Properties of interest should be identified first (Shani & Gunawardana, 2011):
 - Accuracy, ranking, novelty, serendipity, coverage, confidence, trust, diversity, utility, risk, robustness, privacy, adaptivity, scalability

Previous Evaluations

- Bx:
 - Kansas State, presented at ELUNA, faculty survey delivered through email
 - CISTI comparison of Synthese (their content-based system) and bx
- Melvyl Project:
 - CDL OPAC recommender system evaluated through focus group. Tested both collaborative filtering and metadata/content-based recommenders.

Our Context: SFX bx and Primo in OCUL

- OCUL is a consortium of 21 university libraries in Ontario with a shared technology infrastructure
- Licensed and locally hosted SFX instances for all members since 2004
- Current Primo beta at the TUG group (Guelph, Waterloo and Wilfrid Laurier)
- Various discovery systems at other schools
- TUG group started bx trial in summer 2010 The rest of OCUL began February 2011
- Activated by 8/21 OCUL schools to date.

Configuration Options used in OCUL

- Display SFX button for all recommendations: 8/8
- Recommend only full text articles: 1/8 (Waterloo)
- Display "full text available" image: 2/8
- Direct link to full text articles: 2/8
- Contributing Data: 0/8
- Most show default 3 recommendation, Carleton shows 10.

Approach to Evaluating bx in OCUL

- SFX queries: 1,2, 4, 11
 - Frequency of recommendations generated
 - Frequency recommendations clicked on
 - Ratio of recommendations followed: menus displaying recommendations
 - Titles found through bx
 - Relationship (?) between bx and ILL requesting
 - Relationship (?) between recommendations and other SFX target service use

Approach to Evaluation bx in OCUL

- Relationship (?) between configuration choices and get recommendation clickthroughs
- Focus Groups (include undergrads next)
- Surveys
 - Building on work at Kansas State by Jamene Brooks-Kieffer

OCUL Usage Data Findings

- 6 month period: February to July 2011
- Ratio of recommendations clicked/menus showing recommendations is 2.5:1
- Recommendations are shown for an average of 30 % of menus in OCUL
- Clickthrough Rate of recommendations followed varies a lot by school. Waterloo is lower at around 3% while some others are over 10%

OCUL Usage Data Findings (2)

- Relationships? Too early to tell, but for now...
 - ILL is not increasing
 - Get holding service seems to be decreasing the most as clickthroughs rise for recommendations
 - ILL requests from bx as the source is low
 - ILL requests from SFX were 22% of the total ILL borrowing and almost 50% of journal article requesting in 2010. This has risen steadily since it became available in 2007/8.

Focus Groups - Waterloo

- Questions derived from previous evaluations (Kansas, CDL, Guelph)
- Primo context for recommendations

 June 10, 2011 bX Recommender activated in Waterloo's Primo Central trial view

 Assessment Goal – learn more about user preferences and success in using Primo Central - including bX Recommender

• bX Recommender early findings

 During the period from Aug. 22 – Sept 2., 12 students (8 undergrad, 4 grad) were interviewed

- Goal to determine:
 - 1. What students think of recommender services
 - What students think of actual bX Recommendations
 - 3. Get some feedback on the "usability" of the service

• Findings:

Overall, the students are generally positive about services that provide recommendations

• Findings:

– "It's good to know what other people found and actually used. It can save you a lot of time searching"

• Findings:

1. high expectations that the recommendations will yield useful information

• Findings:

- 1. high expectations that the recommendations will yield useful information
- 2. there is an expectation that recommended articles will be available online

- Findings:
 - 1. high expectations that the recommendations will yield useful information
 - 2. there is an expectation that recommended articles will be available online
 - 3. if the recommendations are not useful, students will abandon use of the service

• Findings:

 When performing a search for "global warming" users generally thought article recommendations were relevant

• Findings:

- When performing a search for "global warming" users generally thought article recommendations were relevant
- However, they were quick to pick up on the ones that seemed out of place



GILBERT, DAVID

Nature, 1990, Vol.346(6282), p.310-310 [Peer Reviewed Journal] Full text available

On	line resource	Details	Reviews & Tags	Recommendations			Send t	to 🗸	P	X
	4. ONISHI, Ak modeling 2	tira <u>The im</u> 9.6 (2007)	<u>pact of CO2 emissio</u> 797-819	ns on the world economy	Policy simulations of FU	<u>GI global model Journal of policy</u>	9	6	P	
0.000	5. Hansen, J.	Climate Im	pact of Increasing A	tmospheric Carbon Dioxid	<u>de Science 213.4511 (19</u>	81) 957	Ø	\$	P	
8	6. Lashof, Zine	del A <u>Relat</u>	ive contributions of c	reenhouse gas emission:	s to global warming Natu	re 344.6266 (1990) 529-531	6	2	P	
ľ	7. Lorenzini, 9 4593 - 4599	Stefania <u>St</u>	em cells for end stag	<u>ge liver disease: How far h</u>	<u>ave we got?</u> World Journ	al of Gastroenterology 14.29 (2008	3) Ø	Ø	Ŷ	
	8. ROSE, Dav	id J. <u>REDL</u>	JCING THE PROBLE	EM OF GLOBAL WARMIN	NG_Technology review 87	.4 (1984) 49 - 56	Ø	2	P	
1	9. Broecker, V	V.S. S <u>Fat</u>	e of Fossil Fuel Cart	oon Dioxide and the Globa	al Carbon Budget Science	e 206.4417 (1979) 409-418	Ø	\$	Ŷ	
1	10. Houghton, I	Houghton (Global warming Repo	orts on Progress in Physic	cs 68.6 (2005) 1343-1403)	0	6	9	

• Findings:

 Students were asked if they thought they might have found these articles if they had not been listed as a recommendation

• Findings:

Overall usability (design, ease of use, how information is presented & organized)

• Findings:

 Students thought it was "pretty straight forward", "easy to use", "convenient"

• Findings:

 Students thought recommendations were "hidden"

• Findings:

 There was some confusion about the different citation styles that students encountered when they looked at the recommendations.

• Findings:

	Global warming
EL	GILBERT, DAVID
6	Nature, 1990, Vol.
1.1	Eull toxt availab

Article

Nature, 1990, Vol.346(6282), p.310-310 [Peer Reviewed Journal] Full text available

			0	necommendations				
						Send to	· I	₹ X
bX User	rs intere	sted in t	his article also exp	pressed an interest in the f	ollowing:		Abo	ut bX
1. BRO 189.4	ECKER	, Wallace 175) 460-4	S. <u>CLIMATIC CHAI</u> 63	NGE - ARE WE ON BRINK C	DF A PRONOUNCED GLOBAL WARMING Science	Ø	2	P
2. DICK	KINSON,	R.E. E	UTURE GLOBAL V	ARMING FROM ATMOSPH	ERIC TRACE GASES Nature 319.6049 (1986) 109-115	6	6	P
3. Kuzy 1363	yakov, Ya 3-1371	akov <u>Prim</u>	iing effects: Interact	ions between living and dead	organic matter Soil biology & biochemistry 42.9 (2010)	Ø	\$	P
4. ONIS mode	SHI, Akir eling 29.	a <u>The imp</u> 6 (2007) 1	pact of CO2 emissio 797-819	ns on the world economy Po	licy simulations of FUGI global model Journal of policy	Ø	0	P
View Mor	re							

• Findings:

– Thumbs up/thumbs down – how does this work?

- "Does that get saved to your user account, like if you like it or don't like it?"
- It would be nice to see "numbers like 3/5 people liked this article"

 "I think it's fantastic. I'm a big fan of recommendations ... if nothing else, it makes research easier ... I might not think of all the things that other people thought of that did similar research and found similar things. So it's nice to kind of have the Internet hive mind working to my advantage"

Next Steps – OCUL and Institutional Levels

- Work with the bx api
- Analyze longer-term usage stats
- Contribute data and assess whether this improves our recommendations
- Get feedback from undergraduate students

References

- Bollen, J., & Van de Sompel, H. (2006). An architecture for the aggregation and analysis of scholarly usage data. *JCDL 2006 Proceedings of the 6th ACM/IEEE-CS joint conference on Digital libraries*. Doi:10.1145/1141753.1141821
- He, Q., Kifer, D., Mitra, P., Giles, L., & Pei, J. (2010). Context-aware citation recommendation. Paper presented at the 19th International World Wide Web Conference, WWW2010, Startdate 20100426-Enddate 20100430, 421-430. doi:10.1145/1772690.1772734
- Liao, I., Hsu, W., Cheng, M., & Chen, L. (2010). A library recommender system based on a personal ontology model and collaborative filtering technique for english collections. *The Electronic Library, 28*(3), 386-400. doi:10.1108/02640471011051972
- del Olmo, Felix Hernandez. (2008). Evaluation of recommender systems: A new approach. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *35*(3), 790-804.
- Serrano-Guerrero, J., Herrera-Viedma, E., Olivas, J. A., Cerezo, A., & Romero, F. P. (2011). A google wave-based fuzzy recommender system to disseminate information in university digital libraries 2.0. *Information Sciences*, *181*(9), 1503-1516. doi:10.1016/j.ins.2011.01.012

References cont'd

- Shani, G., & Gunawardana, A. (2011). *Evaluating recommender systems* In Recommender Systems Handbook pp. 257-297.
- Steinberg, R. M., Zwies, R., Yates, C., Stave, C., Pouliot, Y., & Heilemann, H. A. (2010). SmartSearch: Automated recommendations using librarian expertise and the national center for biotechnology information's entrez programming utilities. *Journal of the Medical Library Association, 98*(2), 171-175.
- Vellino, A., & Zeber, D. (2007). A hybrid, multi-dimensional recommender for journal articles in a scientific digital library. Paper presented at the *Web Intelligence and Intelligent Agent Technology Workshops, 2007 IEEE/WIC/ACM International Conferences on,* 111-114.
- Whitney, C., & Schiff, L. (2006). *The Melvyl recommender project: Developing library recommendation services* Retrieved 8/5/2011, 2011, from <u>http://www.dlib.org/dlib/december06/whitney/12whitney.html</u>