

# DIGITool PWG

---

Business Meeting

# Outline

- Who we are
- What should we do
- What have we done
- What we plan to do

# Who we are

- **Luís Miguel Costa** – PWG Coordination and Conference Planning
- **Matthias Groß** – Enhancements Coordination
- **Yves Maurer** – EL Commons
- **Naomi Steinberger** – ELUNA and National Users Groups Liaison
- **Dirk Willinghöfer** – Mailing list moderation
- **Michele Hosking** – IGeLU Website and conference calls minutes
- **Fiona Burton** – IGeLU Steering Committee liaison

# What should we do: Tasks and Responsibilities

- Coordinate and oversee the development cooperation between the users and Ex Libris. This is accomplished by soliciting and creating an active **enhancement request list** with Ex Libris and ELUNA, working within the Enhancements Guidelines, participating in and cooperating with the focus groups, collaborative testing of new features, etc.
- **Program development and organization** for the Annual Meeting in cooperation with the Steering Committee
- Maintain **communication between Product Working Groups, with Ex Libris and with the National User Groups** in order to represent the whole user community and to support necessary developments across the products
- Maintain the **IGeLU website** and participate in development of the communication infrastructure (Wikis, etc.)
- Raise issues of importance with the **Steering Committee**
- Present **quarterly status reports** to the Steering Committee

# What have we done

- Monthly conference calls
- Enhancements process: version 3.3
- IGeLU Conference Planning
- Representation at ELUNA Conference at Fort Worth
- DigiTool PWG Meeting at Porto, Portugal
- DigiTool questionnaire

# What have we done: enhancements

- Enhancement process for DigiTool 3.3 for IGeLU and ELUNA members
  - Preparation: getting a list of DigiTool customers; consolidation
  - First step: submission of enhancement proposals (until March 1st, 2010) – via email
  - Second step: entering the proposals into NERS; grouping and deduplication; in some cases: clarification of the proposal
  - Third step: voting via NERS (March 9th - 16th), institutions were allocated 100 votes each, with a limit of 20 points for any single enhancement
  - Twenty seven out of forty seven eligible institutions voted

# What have we done: enhancements


- The top five enhancements were:
  - Bibliographic metadata management: Global changes with ret-01 and manage-21 (141 votes);
  - Other: Customization of RD by collection (117 votes); Bibliographic Metadata Management: More flexibility in including URLs in metadata (101)
  - Delivery: Changing web design to modern html coding practice (90 votes)
  - Reports: sufficiency of built-in functionality (86 votes)
- Results sent to ExLibris; first feedback to the PWG in June; official feedback to the community will be given
- It has to be followed whether the enhancements that ExLibris implements are what the customers wanted
- Big thanks to: Patricia Hults; Bob Trotter

# What have we done: questionnaire

- Conducted survey of users during August 2010
  - 28 respondents
    - 11 United States and Canada
    - 9 Australia and New Zealand
    - 7 Europe
  - What materials?
    - Special Collections
    - Institutional Repository
    - Audio
    - Video
    - Digital on demand
    - Journals and newspapers
  - Full report and analysis – to follow



# What have we done



# What we plan to do

- Improve communication between DigiTool users
- Follow DigiTool roadmap and integration of its functionalities at URM/Rosetta
- Contribute to the definition of DigiTool/Rosetta PWGs and the internal organization of IGeLU