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The University of Hong Kong (HKU)
HKU and HKU Libraries

HKU
- Founded 1911 (earlier 1887)
- Comprehensive, research
- 17,007 UGs; 12,092 PGs
- 7,579 academic staff
- Rankings:
  - QS – 25
  - THE – 36
  - THE International – 1
  - THE Impact - 10

HKU Libraries
- 7 Libraries
- ca 200 staff
- Large e collections
- Off-site storage, preservation and Tech services
JULAC: Over 50 years of library collaboration
Joint University Librarians Advisory Committee

Founded in 1967

A forum to discuss, co-ordinate and collaborate on library information resources and services among the libraries of the eight university funded by the University Grants Committee (UGC) of HKSAR.

Vision

JULAC is the premier body for deep collaborations related to scholarly information resources and services in Hong Kong academia.

https://www.julac.org/?page_id=3387
- Chinese University of HK (CUHK)
  香港中文大學(中大)
- City University of HK (CityU)
  香港城市大學(城大)
- The Education University of Hong Kong (EdUHK)
  香港教育大學(教大)
- HK Baptist University (HKBU)
  香港浸會大學(浸大)
- HK Polytechnic University (PolyU)
  香港理工大學(理大)
- HK University Science & Technology (HKUST)
  香港科技大學(科大)
- Lingnan University (LU)
  嶺南大學(嶺大)
- University of Hong Kong (HKU)
  香港大學(港大)
1,108 km²
Why JULAC?

- Common challenges
- Geographical and logistical opportunities
- Benefit for staff/student
- Cost-efficiency
- Cost-effectiveness
- Innovation through shared expertise
- Support from government
- Support from individual institutions
JULAC Organizational Structure

- JULAC Directors + JULAC Manager + project
- Access Services
- Assessment
- Bibliographic Services
- Committee on Media
- Conservation & Preservation
- Consortium
- Copyright
- Research Support
- JULAC Technology
- Learning Strategies
- Shared ILS Steering
- Staff Development
Deep collaboration?

- Clear, shared vision
- Engagement, time, and goal alignment
- Responsibility, risk, and commitment
- Optimization of information and **staff resources**
- Imagination and perseverance
- **Adapt and change** as process evolves and deepens
- Negotiation and compromise
- Shared power and decisions.

Horton (2013)
JULAC Principles of Cooperation

• Share smartly
• Do things together AND Do things once
• Do things to shared standards
• Improve user experience and discovery
• Contribute to the global community

https://www.julac.org/?page_id=216
JULAC Collaborations

- Consortia purchasing
- JULAC Card
- Information Literacy
- RAPID ILL
- Affiliates
- HKALL (unmediated ILL)
- HKCAN (HK Chinese Authority Names)
- Shared ILS
- etc
Project overview: timeline, structure and key changes
Project Overview

• One single system used by all 8 libraries
• Cloud based
• Holds a conglomerate of ca 20 million bibliographic records serving over 380,000 users
• Includes HKALL JULAC’s union catalogue
• Supported by Ex Libris products Alma and Primo
• JULAC’s next phase in deep collaboration
## Some Figures at Go-Live date

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016/2017</th>
<th>Changes in 10 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Students (FTE)</td>
<td>142,551</td>
<td>+37.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print Books and Bound Journals</td>
<td>11,602,410</td>
<td>+20.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Books</td>
<td>17,990,978</td>
<td>+770.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print Journals</td>
<td>17,885</td>
<td>-61.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Journals</td>
<td>712,946</td>
<td>+176.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans</td>
<td>2,834,586</td>
<td>-55.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Book Usage (BR2)</td>
<td>14,467,876</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Journal Usage (JR1)</td>
<td>20,808,287</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visits</td>
<td>12,163,729</td>
<td>-4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library Staff</td>
<td>1,011</td>
<td>+0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event Description</td>
<td>Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun 2013</td>
<td>2013-2016 JULAC Strategic Plan</td>
<td>Explore and implement a shared ILS to achieve the goal of building collections and delivering services collaboratively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 2014</td>
<td>Shared ILS Working Group formed</td>
<td>• Worked with JULAC committees • 5 Functional Groups formed in Apr 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 2015</td>
<td>RFP finalised</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 2015</td>
<td>Tendering</td>
<td>HKU administered tendering process on behalf of JULAC members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 2016</td>
<td>Tender award announced</td>
<td>Tender awarded to Alma and Primo from Ex Libris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event</td>
<td>Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2016</td>
<td>Project organization and governance structure approved</td>
<td>Implementation Team and 6 Functional Working Groups formed based on the scope of work. The 7th FWG added in Oct 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 2016</td>
<td>Official project kick-off</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 2016</td>
<td>Implementation Manager assumed duty for 1 year</td>
<td>Served as the single contact between JULAC and the vendor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug–Oct 2016</td>
<td>1st round of test data migration</td>
<td>Test data delivered in Oct</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Oct–Nov 2016 | Production environment delivered                                      | • Alma library service platform delivered in Oct  
• Primo discovery service platform delivered in Nov  
• Workflow testing started                                    |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nov 2016</td>
<td>Change Manager assumed duty for 2 years</td>
<td>• Supports libraries in Change Management and Process Re-engineering at both JULAC and institutional levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Performs benefits realization study after implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan–Mar 2017</td>
<td>2nd round of test data migration</td>
<td>Test data delivered in Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2017</td>
<td>Cutover data migration and freeze of technical services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 2017</td>
<td>Go-Live</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2017+</td>
<td>Let the fun begin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project Structure

Project Team

Implementation Committee

Steering Committee (JULAC)
Project Structure

- Steering Committee
  - 8 JULAC Directors

- Implementation Team
  - 2 co-chairs
  - 7 functional WG Chairs
  - 8 institutional reps
  - 1 Implementation Manager
  - 1 Change Manager

- 7 Functional Working Groups (8 institutional reps in each)
  - Acquisitions
  - CJK
  - Metadata Management
  - Primo Discovery & User Experience
  - Resource Management
  - System & Development
  - User Management & Fulfillment

- Project Team
  - Implementation Manager
  - Change Manager
  - Executive Officer
Key System Changes

• Alma to replace Ill’s Millennium (7 Libraries) and Sierra (1).

• Four of the JULAC libraries will keep using Ex Libris’s Primo as their discovery tool. Primo will replace Summon, ENCORE and EBSCO Discovery Service used by the other 4 libraries.

• Primo Central Index will replace Summon Knowledgebase and EDS EBSCO Integrated Knowledge Base for those libraries who are not current users of Primo.

• Alma link resolver will replace SFX and 360 Link.

• The INN-Reach system used for resource sharing will be decommissioned once the system goes live. The Hong Kong Academic Library Link (HKALL) union catalog will be available on Primo. Both online direct requests and walk-in borrowing on HKALL materials can be achieved through Primo.

• Two of the JULAC libraries also chose to implement Leganto for reading lists at that time. Now there are 4.
Challenges and (some) Critical Issues
Within 3 Hours of Go-Live

• “I’m also immensely disappointed at the initial outcome of this costly migration process. I propose to discuss this with my law faculty and other academic colleagues to decide how best to raise within HKU the many issues it brings up as to library management.”

• “I’m complaining vociferously about an ill-judged and poorly designed new system, a mishandled IT migration, and an entrenched disregard for the views and needs of users. All of this has been conducted at considerable cost to HK’s university system and to the UGC, and clearly needs objective review.”

(HKU Law Faculty member)
1 Merging Bibliographic Records

- To share bibliographic records in Alma Network Zone
- Need to merge ca 20 million records from individual Millennium databases of 8 member libraries
- To select only one record for Alma Network Zone → de-duplication of bibliographic records needed
# 1 Merging Bibliographic Records

| What we did | 
|---|---|
| Defined what to include in NZ Alma | Print books, AV materials, serials and selected e-resource packages |
| Designed the de-duplication or merging key | Based on the key data, incl. author, title, publisher, year, etc. Cannot rely on MARC field 035 OCLC control number as not all our bib records carry such field |
| Developed program to generate the key for each bib record for mapping | |
| Selected the master/priority records | Based on the collection size, availability of Romanization fields, etc. |
| Enabled better de-duplication of CJK records | By flipping romanization data to parallel fields where necessary to ensure all records adopt the same type of data for mapping |
2 Data Migration

Migrating from one system to a differently designed system

Difficulties in data mapping

- Misunderstanding/confusion in the definitions of tags and labels in Alma
- Corresponding fields not found in Alma
  - Loss of data or incorrect placement of data (esp. in order, patron and holding records)
  - Lack of guidance from EXL

Tight migration time frame

- Insufficient time for thorough data migration planning and testing, especially having spent much time in first test load
- Underestimated the difficulty in field mapping and migrating all data

Huge volume of bib data for publishing to Primo
## 2 Data Migration

### How we overcame this?

| Two rounds of full test load + full data load for production |
| EXL adopted a better data loading tool in the 2\textsuperscript{nd} round of data load |
| EXL changed the Primo publishing methods a few times → finally using a two-environment model |
| Individual institutions needed to conduct post-migration data cleanup → took some time to clean up |

- **HKUST**: duplicated SFX bib data; consolidate “bound with items”, i.e. items linking to multiple bib
- **CityU Library**: duplicated SFX bib data, consolidate “bound with items”, incorrect codes on material types in item records, etc.
3 User Authentication

EXL required JULAC to use external identity sources for user authentication

Individual libraries have multiple identity sources (staff, students, alumni, library registered users, self-finance program students, etc.)

HKALL Primo requires a cross-institution authentication solution.
## 3 User Authentication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAML 2.0 Authentication</th>
<th>Individual libraries worked with their institutions’ IT dept to integrate SAML 2.0 based IdP to Primo (and Alma), to cover all users</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>– Adopted</td>
<td>Successfully implemented within tight implementation time frame</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### HKALL Primo Authentication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>– Being explored</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HKAF for cross-organization single sign-on authentication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Project started by Joint Universities Computer Center in 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unable to implement HKAF for HKALL Primo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Primo did not have the capability to communicate with HKAF’s discovery service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXL need to adopt PDS technology to implement cross-institutional authentication</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 Continuing Support and Management  
(for NZ Alma and HKALL Primo)

- When is the appropriate time to shift from Project Team Support to Service Support
- Which party to report problem cases to EXL
- Which party to look after NZ Alma and HKALL Primo – long term system administration (incl. API key)
- Who should own the system administration right
- Which party to make final decision/approval on proposed system changes
- How to handle enhancement requests that can maximize the benefits of the consortium
Critical Issue 1: CJK

Problem One

- Conventional MARC tags contain Romanization, e.g. Pinyin. CJK content in 880 parallel fields not properly supported

Solution

- Flipping MARC tag 880 parallel fields

Issues

- Tokenization, indexing, searching and ranking of CJK string
- Searching Chinese terms in TSVCC
- Auto-Romanization on a record, to transliterate all Chinese characters in MARC tags to Pinyin in one shot

Most issues now resolved through software development.
Flipping MARC Tag 880 parallel fields

In JULAC Alma, the pair is flipped:

245 0 0 $$6 880-02/$$1 $$a 林語堂論 / Yide bian

- JULAC Systems Committee in collaboration Bibliographic Services Committee, Metadata FWG and System FWG made this drastic recommendation, i.e. flipping content of 880 parallel fields
- This was proved to be a significant implementation decision that helped reducing number of CJK issues
Critical Issue 2: HKCAN

- **HKCAN: Hong Kong Chinese Authority Names**
  - Established in 1999
  - A union database of Chinese name authority records which reflects the unique characteristics of Chinese author names and organization names
  - Has created some 300,000 Chinese name authority records
## Critical Issue 2: HKCAN

### Problem Two
- Hong Kong Chinese Authority Name (HKCAN)
- Multi-lingual control

### Issues
- Alma does not support Authority MARC tag 7XX
- Need to merge HKUST's authority record to HKCAN
- HKCAN content needs refreshing

### Solution
- Migrated HKCAN database to NZ and published it to CZ
- HKUST developed the HKCAN+HKUST+LCNAF merging program
- Issues now resolved through software development.
HKCAN Architecture with Alma

HKCAN
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de-referencing
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Network Zone
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Critical Issue 3: HKALL

- Hong Kong Academic Library Link (2003+)
- User initiated, unmediated Inter Library Lending among the 8 JULAC libraries
- First of its kind in Asia
- First in the World to include a large number of Chinese vernacular items
- In 2009, the busiest INN-Reach in the world (av request per library)
Critical Issue 3: HKALL

• HKALL is (was)
• ... a **Real-time Resource Sharing System** that allows unmediated borrowing & lending physical materials among consortia member libraries. It contains 4 major components:
  ▪ Union catalogue
  ▪ Discovery application
  ▪ Requesting and circulation system
  ▪ Statistics module.
The Decline of HKALL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Transactions</th>
<th>Percentage change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009/10</td>
<td>213,207</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010/11</td>
<td>197,754</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011/12</td>
<td>170,094</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/13</td>
<td>149,632</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013/14</td>
<td>135,103</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>120,372</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015/16</td>
<td>112,542</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016/17</td>
<td>93,712</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017/18</td>
<td>63,853</td>
<td>31.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Issues with Alma’s “Resource Sharing”

• Not Real Time. Can’t tell if an item is “available”. No indication of a successful request. Need to wait for a confirmation or rejection email after leading to multiple requests.
• A title available in one’s home library but is not for loan, the user cannot request another copy via HKALL.
• Cannot request a specific volume within a multi-volume set.
• No reasons given when requests are rejected.
• Titles appear as ‘available’ but may not be HKALL ‘requestable’.
• HKALL request button may not appear for no given reason (e.g. ineligibility, exceed quota etc.).

Most issues now resolved or using a workaround or pending.
Successes
## What Worked Well?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procurement</th>
<th>Implementation</th>
<th>Critical Issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Request for Proposals</td>
<td>Forming teams Steering Committee</td>
<td>Flipping MARC tag 880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tendering</td>
<td>Implementation team</td>
<td>Merging bib records and load sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation of products &amp; vendors</td>
<td>Functional working groups</td>
<td>User account authentication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Implementation manager</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Deep Collaboration among 8 JULAC libraries**

Sharing responsibilities, workloads, experiences, expertise, practices, programs, APIs, configurations

Coming to consensus on differences
What Worked Well?

Constant Communication
• Within JULAC – wiki, email mailing lists, group meetings, WhatsApp groups

• With Ex Libris – Basecamps, Salesforce website, onsite training, WebEx, weekly project calls, Knowledge Center website (some not effective), product experts on f2f discussions (very effective)

United Front on Critical Issues
• Bargaining power
  • Pricing
  • a second Primo environment to hold HKALL Primo data

• Additional resources
  • Change Manager & admin staff

• Change management
• Cooperative cataloging and contributing to global community
What Worked Well? (and not so well)

What we like

• No need to swap between modules
• Link e-resources to reading lists
• Real time notices (pick-up, recall)
• Able to view history of bib records
• Easier to create sets
• Able to share HKCAN in CZ
• Integrate with campus systems
• Linked Data
• APIs for more automation, data extraction

Pain points

Response time varies, frequent down time (due to weekly maintenance and system stability issue)
• Alma – Primo synchronisation
• Cannot batch remove portfolios from Collection/Service.

• HKALL still not ideal
• ERM not fully functional
• Shared cataloging cannot be (fully) done yet
• Multi-lingual authority control not fully supported
What Worked Well? (So far)

New Shared ILS Steering Committee

• The Committee will:
  • Provide oversight and leadership;
  • make operational and policy decisions;
  • escalate and/or report as needed;
  • liaise between JULAC and Ex Libris.

• On behalf of the Committee, the JULAC Manager will be
  • the gatekeeper of requests on creation/deletion of user accounts and configuration changes on the Shared ILS;
  • the single point of contact between JULAC and Ex Libris.
What Worked Well?
Change Management
Change Management

• Coordinated by a Change Manager and an Executive Officer
• Business Process Re-engineering (BPR) for **twenty two** projects across the eight libraries, with **nine SILS related** and **thirteen SILS-related/non-SILS**. From “Acquisition to cataloging processes” to “Relegation and Weeding processes”.

**Change Vision**
- Share smartly
- Do things together and do things once
- Do things to share standards
- Consistent user experiences
- Contribute to global community

**What’s in it for me?**
- Benefits
- Culture
- Leadership
- Organizational design
- Commitment
- People performance

Need to establish a benefit realization and ownership process
The Change Dilemma!

• 20+ years in same system, reluctance to change, to learn new things and work on new procedures, outside the comfort zone.

• The cloud-based SILS with consolidated functionalities eliminated the management of segregated servers and software platforms, threatening the job security.

• Network Zone eliminated the boundaries among libraries in sharing of information, processing and management responsibilities.

• Deeper collaboration among libraries meant that more integrated tasks to achieve the objective of “do things together and do things once”, triggered the feeling of losing control, the reduction of the individual’s span of control intensified the reluctance to collaborate.

• The (new) monitoring and measurement of process performance made staff uncomfortable.
The Change Approach.

• Over 80 individual interviews;

• Communication sessions to cascade the change vision;

• Process re-engineering training to communicate the approach and benefits;

• Re-engineering workshops on real projects to demonstrate the as-is analysis, should-be redesign and implementation planning with project team members;

• Project team members were encouraged to own the implementation and performance evaluation with clear deliverables and responsibilities assigned;

• Change manager also randomly revisited the project team to evaluate the results of the change to ensure implementation carried out as planned.
Common BPR findings.

• Rethink the handling of **bottlenecks** and reduce process waiting time;
• Ensure **accuracy of information** collected in the first place to eliminate additional redoing time;
• Provide a **transparent environment across library functional units** to reduce repetitive information checking and verifications;
• **Challenge the existing workflow** and remove any non-value-added process steps and handoffs;
• Reduce process variation through **standardization, simplification, regrouping or elimination**;
• Review the old process, **challenge** the fundamental, structures and methods, **explore new technological methods** to improve process effectiveness;
• **Communicate** the full picture of cross-functional workflow to remove silos and enhance collaboration between staff, both internal and external in different departments.
Conclusions and Takeaways

• **Efficiencies** through sharing the creation of the RFP, tender evaluation, negotiation, single cloud system (not 8 standalones);

• **System cost efficiencies** (initial (XX%) and recurring (9%) based on historical data);

• **Hardware cost efficiencies** both initial (72%) and recurring (78%);

• Shared training and working in cross-institutional implementation teams increased implementation expertise and learning. Many staff have reported that they valued the opportunity to coordinate and share with like-minded colleagues;

• **Shared standards.** The JULAC Bibliographic Services Committee developed shared cataloging standards and protocols as the baseline for shared cataloging;

• **Shared expertise** in workflows and development work, for example sharing API developments;

• **Change management** and BPRs had a (positive) “mindset” impact;

• **Deep(er) Collaboration through the Project**;

By many measures the journey was more important than the destination!!

---
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