

<b>Day 1 - The Grand Synthesis: Combining Notes and Analytics for Electronic Collection Analysis in Alma</b>	
<b>Question</b>	<b>Answer(s)</b>
My insitution is in a consortium instance of Alma, where some of our eportfolios are maintained in the local "insitution zone" and some are centrally maintained on our behalf by our consortia e-resource manager in the "network zone." I imagine we could not apply the custom notes to the e-portfolios we inherit from the network zone; could you speak to that, or have ideas for a workaround?	My institution belongs to a consortium that has a Network Zone as well. However, our consortium has only just started utilizing the NZ for centralized e-resource activations this summer, and my institution is currently opting out of inheriting from it. Thus, I cannot speak directly to a solution to the question from experience. However, I do recall that certain local fields in MARC records inherited from the NZ can retain local information; maybe that would be a workaround if updates from the NZ always obliterate local Alma note fields like Internal Description?
Great presentation, Zorian! What other surprises did you have in your results...subjects you didn't have enough of, subjects you had too much of, etc.	Other than the "surprise" of finding out that ~20% of my institution's activated journal portfolios from the CZ didn't have call numbers, the big subject-based surprise was that we had so few psychology-social work-human services journals, which are huge programs at my institution that heavily use the library.
The idea is awesome and how many staff is helping to maintain this project?	The only staff involved in this project are myself and one other library technician.

