



New content in context



Where does new content come from



Where does new content go




Workflows for new content





# **New Content in Context**

### The Meaning of New Content







### What is New Content (Metadata)



### New providers

Establishing relationships with partners



### **New products**

Providers offer new content types and modules



#### **Annual collections**

Collections that reflect annual holdings



### **Authority vocabularies**

Promoting DEI (Diversity, Equity and Inclusion)



# Different manifestation for existing content

Enrichment, metadata granularity, platform migration



#### Local content

Community contributions to CZ, CDI representations





# Requests for New Content Come from...







### **Providers**

### **Community**

**Ex Libris** 

- Contact form
- Ongoing communications
- Working groups
- Support cases
- NERS
- Idea Exchange

- Sales
- Professional Services
- Customer Success
- Cross-Product
- Support





# Taking New Content from Cradle to End User

	New content and its metadata are available
$\bigcirc$	Provider Relations verify available feeds
	Legal approval*
$\bigcirc$	Evaluation of metadata usability
	Decide appropriate destination - KBs/CDI/both
$\bigcirc$	Publish to the community on the Content Operations roadmap
$\bigcirc$	Automation*





# **Evaluating New Content Usability**

Feed delivery format


Update frequency

Perpetual or with removals

Full text or not

Does it have identifiers

Metadata granularity











# Where New Content Goes



Standard KBART

**Excel format** 

With unique identifiers

Full text available





Rich metadata with title and article level details


XML format

No identifiers

Full text available

### **Community Perception of Usability**

What would you prefer as a general rule of conduct with electronic ebook/ejournal collections?







# **Other Responses**

$\bigcirc$	Activate as soon as possible but then update as soon as better [Bib] is available
$\bigcirc$	Activate as soon as possible, but if its textbook we make it as a IZ record
$\bigcirc$	Do ASAP for ejournals, wait 2 wks for ebooks or other material types
$\bigcirc$	Activate as soon as possible but update after two weeks
$\bigcirc$	Activate asap, overlay with better metadata when available
	Activate it ASAP but have a note that the records are going to be updated
$\bigcirc$	If you can update in 2 weeks, go ahead w/ low quality
$\bigcirc$	Activate as soon as possible, but I hope the bibliographic description of the collection includes info that it is in the process of being 'built' and perhaps give a time frame
	I think it depends on whether there are bibliographic records available from another source (like WorldShare Collection Manager, the vendor, or a third-party cataloger). We wouldn't activate a CZ collection with substandard bibs if we could load higher quality local ones. However, if it's the only way to provide discovery, then we would take the brief bibs over nothing.
	Why only 2 options? Can you request providers to provide at least minimal level records? I have noticed that some records do not have author names, description, subjects, etc. It is very challenging to work with those records.
$\bigcirc$	Activate asap. Poor CZ bib = Automatic search in OCLC and relinking to that OCLC record









# Accommodating Multiple Solutions for Multiple Scenarios





# Internal Workflows for New Content Creation

### Systematic Approach for New Content Creation







### **Workflow for New Content Creation**










### Advantages of Idea Exchange

- Transparency: anyone can vote, post and view
- Prioritized by votes and comments
- Regional content representation
- Archive of requests

Prioritize in NERS

Cases for existing providers: discontinued


### **Ideas Posted Over the Years**








# **Total Ideas by Status**







### **Idea Exchange Statistics**









Regional non-English content

- Open Access
- Single titles

Enrichment

Authority Vocabularies

### How to Make a Case for Your Idea

- Check if this idea was already posted, and vote for it
- Indicate where you would like to see the content
- Note new or existing providers

- Provide any possible helpful details, including:
  - Number of collections from the provider
  - Contact person
  - link
  - Language (for non-English requests)





### Taking New Content on a New Path

- Using high quality metadata
- Finding the right place to best represent the metadata (KBs/CDI/both)
- Diversify the KBs and CDI

Transparency in new content requests, priorities and decision-making

We are transparently collaborating to get new content to the right place









# A Special Thanks to the Content Working Group

**Especially Beth Juhl and Cody Hackett** 

### Please join the Q3 content webinar, for a discussion with

Sage and Oxford representatives.

Metadata at its Origin -

Publishers Talk about Metadata

Thursday, September 28th

15:00 GMT

\*Feel free to send your questions by September 22nd







### Not yet registered for the content mailing list??







Don't miss out!!





# Thank You!

Tamar.Ganor@clarivate.com

#### © 2023 Clarivate

Clarivate and its logo, as well as all other trademarks used herein are trademarks of their respective owners and used under license.

© 2023 Clarivate